#### COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(M) San Diego State University MASTER'S SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

#### Form AS 4(M) A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

### Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the Commission on Accreditation in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

**4.0.3:** The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's (CSWE) Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

### Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

Assessment Measure #1: COMPREHENSIVE SKILLS EVALUATION					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive/affective process				
When/where students are assessed:	Fall and Spring Semester				
Who assessed student competence:	Field Instructors/Field Faculty				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	Average Score for student must be >2.5				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	85%				
Assessment Measure #2: QUALIFYING EXAM					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive/affective process				
When/where students are assessed:	Spring Semester				
Who assessed student competence:	SSW Associate Director; Program Assessment Coordinator				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	Average score for student must be 75% or above				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	85%				

# MSW Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

## MSW Program's Assessment Plan | Specialized Practice

### Area of Specialized Practice #1: DIRECT PRACTICE

Assessment Measure #1: COMPREHENSIVE SKILLS EVALUATION						
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge,					
	values, skills, and					
	cognitive/affective					
	process					
When/where students are assessed:	Fall and Spring					
	Semester					
Who assessed student competence:	Field					
	Instructors/Field					
	Faculty					
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	Average score for					
for Competencies 1-9:	student must be					
	>3.0					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	85%					
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies						
1-9:						
Assessment Measure #2: COMPREHENSIVE EXAM	Assessment Measure #2: COMPREHENSIVE EXAM					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge,					
	values, skills, and					
	cognitive/affective					
	process					
When/where students are assessed:	Spring Semester					
Who assessed student competence:	Essay format					
	graded by Tenure					
	Track Faculty					
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	Average score for					
for Competencies 1-9:	student must be					
	75% or above					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	85%					
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies						
1-9:						

Assessment Measure #1: COMPREHENSIVE SKILLS EVALUATION					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge,				
	values, skills, and				
	cognitive/affective				
	process				
When/where students are assessed:	Fall and Spring				
	Semester				
Who assessed student competence:	Field				
	Instructors/Field				
	Faculty				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	Average score for				
for Competencies 1-9:	student must be				
	>3.0				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	85%				
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies					
1-9:					
Assessment Measure #2: COMPREHENSIVE EXAM					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge,				
	values, skills, and				
	cognitive/affective				
	process				
When/where students are assessed:	Spring Semester				
Who assessed student competence:	Essay format				
	graded by Tenure				
	Track Faculty				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	Average score for				
for Competencies 1-9:	student must be				
	75% or above				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	85%				
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies					
1-9:					

# Area of Specialized Practice #2: ADMINISTRATION AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

### Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year 2020-2021 San Diego State University Master's Social Work Program: (Main Campus)

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%) (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK		
			Generalist Practice n = 92	Area of Specialized Practice #1 Direct Practice n = 97	Area of Specialized Practice #2 Administration and Community Development n =11
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	85%	85%	90%	92%	100%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	85%	85%	90%	99%	100%
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	85%	85%	87%	97%	92%
Competency 4: Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	85%	85%	84%	93%	100%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	85%	85%	83%	92%	92%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	85%	85%	87%	96%	96%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	85%	85%	85%	92%	100%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	85%	85%	88%	91%	87%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	85%	85%	80%	98%	100%